Cornell Soil Health Laboratory 2022	Code: CSH 12			
Cornell Soll Health Laboratory 2022	Date: 1/6/2022			
Title:	Page: 1 of 7			
Low Pressure Soil Water Desorption and Bulk	Location: Leland 120			
Density Test				
Final revision:				
Bob Schindelbeck. Kirsten Kurtz				

Low Pressure Soil Water Desorption and Bulk Density



Figure 1. Photo of vacuum jars and switching manifold to remove water from porous ceramic cylinders buried in a bed of silt-sized media.

Background / Strategy:

Soil water desorption curves describe the relationship between soil water content and soil water potential (matric potential) for soils initially wet and sequentially dried. Here, undisturbed soil cores are saturated and subjected to negative matric suction forces using a silica flour soil tension table. After the water in the soil cores achieves equilibrium with the applied suction, samples are weighed to determine water content change from each applied tension step (Cassel and Nielson, 1986). Pore size distributions are also calculated from the resultant data (Topp et al., 1993). Using the oven dry weight of the intact core, the Bulk Density of each sample is presented using 1) the volume of the soil at a typical "field capacity" condition of 0.33bar pressure (BDfc) and 2) the volume of the soil after oven drying to constant weight at 105C (BDod).

Approach:

A soil tension table is used that is outfitted with a suction pump and vacuum regulator attached through a valved manifold to six 200ml volume unglazed porous ceramic cups. These cups are buried in 15cm deep silica flour (effective pore size 60um) with water outflow captured in a vacuum bottle trap. Water is extracted from the silica flour media (and the soil samples upon it) until equilibrium with the applied vacuum is reached. Five buried reference soil tensiometers are used to check *in situ* matric potential versus the gauge reading on the vacuum trap. Two extra Quality Control (QC) soil cores are used with each 60 sample set. These dummy cores have a known equilibrium weight corresponding to the applied suction. They serve as guides to check the apparatus functioning and also act as a gauge to samples attaining equilibrium with each applied suction.

Soil core samples of typically about 250ml volume have their bottom face trimmed smooth. Nylon cloth with 50um mesh is placed on the sample bottom and held in place with a stout rubber band. The upper end of the core is trimmed flush and covered with a loose fitting plastic cap. Soil cores are saturated in a large plastic tray with open 8mm rubber mesh on the bottom. Water depth is increased over 3 days to just to top of the core without overtopping. After 4-7 days, record the weight of the saturated core using the cap on top of the sample as a vessel to retain draining water during the weighing. Place each sample onto a drain cart that has an open 8mm rubber mesh on the bottom with water ponded to 1cm depth. Replace the plastic cap onto the top of each sample.

Connoll Coll Hoolth Loboratory 2022	Code: CSH 12			
Cornell Soll Health Laboratory 2022	Date: 1/6/2022			
Title:	Page: 2 of 7			
Low Pressure Soil Water Desorption and Bulk	Location: Leland 120			
Density Test				
Final revision:				
Bob Schindelbeck, Kirsten Kurtz				

Allow samples to remain on the gravity table for 3-12 hours to achieve equilibrium water content with the applied gravity water suction (equivalent (in cm) to $\frac{1}{2}$ of the distance from the top of the core to the water surface). Use the plastic cap again to weigh and record the sample weight.

Place the samples onto the tension table using a nylon membrane filter to separate the soil core sample from the silica flour. The media should be completely saturated with the surface soft but not liquid. Set vacuum regulator above vacuum bottle to desired suction. Open valves to allow suction delivery to the media and samples. Allow about 6-10 days for samples to reach equilibrium with the applied suction. Record sample weight with the top cap used beneath the sample.

Each day, record the weight of the reference dummy samples. After several days, the weight change will be no greater than simple evaporation weight loss and the samples are at equilibrium with the applied tension. Remove each sample and record the weight including the plastic top cap as a dish. Re-saturate the sand table media between each tension step by delivering water backwards through the buried porous ceramic cups. A water supply bottle can be placed 150cm above the apparatus to allow gravity to supply the water to the media. Collect the equilibrium core sample weight data from the final suction pressure step, typically 0.33bar. Now, carefully add dry sandblasting sand (125-250um, particle density 1.55g/cm⁻³) to completely fill the shrinkage void at the top of the soil and along the side of the ring. Screed off the surface flush with the top of the sample sleeve. Reweigh after replacing the plastic cap with an equivalent weight aluminum dish under the sample. Samples can be placed in a 105C oven and dried to constant weight, usually about 3 days. Remove sample and record weight including the aluminum dish below the sample. Again re-fill sample to the top of the sample ring with more sandblasting sand, tapping ring sides to ensure crack filling. Record sample weight including the metal dish.

Bulk density can be calculated on the 0.33bar soil volume basis or oven dry soil volume basis. The oven dry weight of the soil material is the same at both moisture contents (Hao et al., 2008). The volume of the soil material changes due to soil shrinkage. Water contents are all calculated on a gravimetric (mass) basis. Convert to the volumetric basis using the bulk density (Reynolds and Topp, 2008).

Calculate the parameters listed below:

+ antecedent (as-sampled) water content (gravimetric and volumetric)

+ saturated water content (gravimetric and volumetric)

+ gravity-equilibrium (6cm height core: 0.003bar = 3cm tension) water content

(gravimetric and volumetric) *tension developed at this step is always 1/2 sample height

+ 0.33bar water content (gravimetric and volumetric)

+ Total (macro, meso, micro) porosity

+ Calculate Available Water-holding Capacity (0.33 to 15bar) *requires

pressure plate data collected using pressure chamber equipment for 15bar data

+ BDfc ("field capacity") based on 0.33bar soil volume

+BDod (oven dry) based on oven dry soil volume

	Code: CSH 12			
Cornell Soil Health Laboratory 2022	Date: 1/6/2022			
Title:	Page: 3 of 7			
Low Pressure Soil Water Desorption and Bulk	Location: Leland 120			
Density lest				
Final revision:				
Bob Schindelbeck, Kirsten Kurtz				

wate	er poten	tial	pore diameter	porosity		
cmH2O	kPa bars		kPa bars microns			
0	0	0	saturation	total porosity		
3	0.3	0.003	1000	macro-		
10	1	0.01	300			
25	2.5	0.025	120			
50	5	0.05	60			
100	10	0.1	30	field cap.		
200	20	0.2	15			
300	30	0.3	10	meso-		
400	40	0.4	7.5			
1000	100	1	3			
15000	1500	15	0.2	micro-		
			< 0.2	residual		

Table 1. The resultant soil water potential in the samples is related to the equivalent pore diameter emptied using the table at left. Porosity class is also given. Macroporosity (pores greater than 1mm diameter) is measured after the 6cm tall soil core drains to equilibrium on the gravity table. Note that field capacity is referenced at 0.1bars (100cm tension). Often 0.33bars (330cm tension) is used as the reference for field capacity.

References:

Topp GC, Galganov YT, Ball BC, Carter MR. Soil water desorption curves. Soil sampling and methods of analysis. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL. 1993:569-79.

Hao X, Ball BC, Culley JL, Carter MR, Parkin GW. Soil density and porosity. Soil sampling and methods of analysis. 2, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 2008:743-60.

Reynolds, W. D., & Topp, G. C. Soil water desorption and imbibition: tension and pressure techniques. Soil sampling and methods of analysis, 2, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 2008: 981-1005.

Cassel, D. K., & Nielsen, D. R. (1986). Field capacity and available water capacity. Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical Methods, 5, 901-926.

Culley, JLB. (1993) Density and compressibility. In: Carter, M.R., Ed., Soil sampling and methods of analysis. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, 1993: 529-549.

Objective:

Undisturbed soil cores can be desorbed on a tension table from saturation to 0.33bars. Gravity drainage of saturated cores on a drain cart at equilibrium empties pore diameters > 1mm (macropores) (Table 1). Mesopore data (pore diameter between 1mm (1000 μ m and 10 μ m) is obtained from then desorbing the soil cores in one step to 0.33 bars (Table 1). Data collected allows for direct measurement of gravimetric (mass based) water content.

Cornell Soil Health Laboratory 2022	Code: CSH 12				
Cornell Soll Health Laboratory 2022	Date: 1/6/2022				
Title:	Page: 4 of 7				
Low Pressure Soil Water Desorption and Bulk	Leasting Laboral 120				
Density Test	Location: Leland 120				
Final revision:					
Bob Schindelbeck, Kirsten Kurtz					

Bulk density on a field moist volume basis (called "field capacity") at 0.33bar (Ψ_{fc}) or oven dry volume basis (Ψ_{OD}) can be derived. Glass beads (dry sandblasting sand with

particles 125-250um in diameter, particle density 1.55g/cm⁻³) are used to determine the volume change due to water loss 1) during sand table desorption to 0.33bar and 2) from oven drying (Culley, 1993). Volumetric water content data can be calculated from these data. Calculation of porosity and pore size distribution can be calculated from this data, with porosity classes being given in Table 1 above.

NOTE: For quarantined soils, use quarantined procedures listed throughout the Cornell Soil Health Laboratory Standard Operating Procedures. Contain all soil material and accessory material for storage in autoclave bags for destruction. Water extracted from undisturbed cores is collected and sterilized with a 10% bleach solution for 30 minutes. Use 10% bleach solution or 70% alcohol for surface sterilization.

Materials and Equipment:

Figure 2. The suction sand table apparatus uses a vacuum pump to maintain surplus vacuum in a string of vacuum jars beneath the table. The silica flour media (silt-sized) is outfitted with six buried unglazed porous ceramic cups. These cups are connected via a switching manifold to a vacuum regulator on a vacuum water trap (above and at left in Figure 2, below). Each ceramic cup is also fitted with a switchable second tube to allow new water (source just above the top of Figure 2, below) to travel through the porous cups to saturate the media and raise the free water surface.



Cornell Soil Health Laboratory 2022	Code: CSH 12				
Cornell Soll Realth Laboratory 2022	Date: 1/6/2022				
Title:	Page: 5 of 7				
Low Pressure Soil Water Desorption and Bulk	Leasting Laborat 120				
Density Test	Location: Leiand 120				
Final revision:					
Bob Schindelbeck, Kirsten Kurtz					

After all data is recorded on the Sand Table data sheet in the laboratory (Table 1. I, below), transcribe into an Excel spreadsheet (Table 1. II and 1. III, below). In each set of 60 samples that are run, two samples are included as soil standards which are run as a check or quality control. Columns are dedicated to identifying the samples and their locations during the testing. Other columns list the data to be recorded with instructions for including accessory weighing dishes. Enter all of the raw lab data and drag down the formulas from the top row of the EXCEL spreadsheet.

Table 1. Soil core datasheet and with parameter calculator (see next three pages).

I. <u>Columns A-J.</u> Record data here. Use different lab constants as appropriate.

	in the second	- torrest	20.4-						
plastic r	ring, rubbe	r band, v	38.4g						
volume	soil ring		322.5cm^3						
blue eva	aporation	сар	12g						
metal di	rying dish		12g						
density	glass bea	ds	1.55g/cm^3						
column									
Α	column B	column C	column D	column E	column F	column G	column H	column I	column J
									add more beads
				saturated wt.	3-hour wt.	0.33 bar wt.	add beads (1)	with beads (1)	with beads (2) oven
			voile+ring	(g)	(g)	(g)	0.33 bar wt. (g)	oven dry wt. (g)	dry wt. (g)
		Cornell	Antecedent	WITH blue	WITH blue	WITH blue	WITH metal		
order	ID	ID	wt.	dish	dish	dish	dish	WITH metal dish	WITH metal dish
1	dummy	SH3	571.5	609.7	605.2	575.3	595.3	475.0	494.2

Cornell Soil	Code: CSH 12								
	Date: 1	/6/2022							
Title:	_:! ``						S 11	Page:	6 of 7
Low Pressure S		vater D	esor	ptic	on an	d E	suik	Location: Lelan	d 120
	De	nsity Te	est						
Final revision:		· · · · •							
BOD Schindelbeck, Kirs	sten K	urtz							
				T -			8		
	20.0	H20- G20)	0.53	Pads Vt. of			Imn		Ι
	L.	(12)	8 9	₹ ≤	1		8		I.
	9.2	9-120)	indry	t of			In I		
	12	. K20	0		1				<u>Col</u> wat
	9	/1.5	8	, 9.			mn M		um er-c
	4	M20/3	at 0.3	shrink					<u>ns</u>] ont
	8	322.5)	3bar				NNN		ent
		120	ove	5 5	1		ê		spe
	2,4	1.55	ndry	in de	weig		mo		alcı cifi
		020 020	ato	£.	anting		8		ılat c bı
	0.8	+(((02	Wen d	nkass	grams		lumn		ion
		<u> </u>	2	<u>R</u>	, volu		~		s sh den
	33	322.5-	0.0	5	mein		colun		low
	-6	M20	"	<u>s.</u>	Cm A3		ā		n o 7. T
	2	322.	OVE	5	1		8		f so heti
	97.2	5-M20	andry	of soi			umn R		al de a M
	\vdash		M.	2	-				ore [gra
	404.6)-K20- 38.4-1	barec	h			olumr		vol avir
		2	ore of	<u>s.</u>	-		N.		um
	1.3	\$20/Q	basi	B			colum		e at ric v
	Ľ.	20	1	ä	-		3		; dif wat
	1.3	S20/R	bas				colum		fer(er c
	_	20	15. 6	2			2		ent ont
	.0	(D20 \$20)	antec		up ^g H ^g	the	읕		wat
	32	-38.4- /\$20	edent		20/ y soil	ta M	mnγ		s of
		12 (E	8			đ	8		cont the
	0.38	20-38. S20)/\$	turate		g H2O, dry so	heta N	lumn		tent
		4 (F	<u> </u>		=	-	8		s yi il cc
	0.3	:20-38 \$20]/	0.3		g H2	theta	colun		eld
	17	(4-12- \$20	Pa		i i	M	лл		ing are
		(G2 12-S	ų		89 B9	\$	8		α ^ν
	0.30	10-38. 20)/S	3 kPa		H2O/ Iry soil	eta M	lumn		
		8 f				_	~	-	

C	Cornell Soil Health Laboratory 2022								2022	Code: CSH 12							
Title:								•		D P	ate:	1/6/2022 7 of 7					
Low	/ Pr	essure	e Soil \ De	Nater ensity	Deso Test	orp	otic	on a	and Bulk	Locatio	on: Lela	and 120					
Final re	evisi	on:															
Bob Sch	nind	elbeck,	Kirsten	Kurtz													
	0.42	V20*T20	antecedent	theta V _{0.33} cm^3 H2O/ cm^3 dry soil	column Z	field capacity s	volumetric wat	theta V _{0.33}			Ξ						
	0.50	W20*T20	saturated	theta V _{0.33} cm^3 H2O/ cm^3 dry soil	column AA	oil volume bas	ter content		Columns Z water conte								
	0,49	X20*T20	0,3kPa	theta V _{0.33} cm^3 H2O/ cm^3 dry soil	column AB	SIS	sis	sis				nt basis is sh	<u>7-AI.</u> Calcula nt basis is sh				
	0.39	Y20 ⁴ T20	33 kPa	theta V _{0.33} cm^3 H2O/ cm^3 dry soil	column AC					ations of The 10wn. Macro							
	0,43	V20*U20	antecedent	theta V _{ewen day} cm^3 H2O/ cm ⁴ 3 dry soil	column AD	volumetric w oven dry soil		theta V _{oven d}	ta V volume - and mesop	ta V volume - and mesop							
	0.52	W20*U20	sat,	theta V _{even dry} cm^3 H2O/ cm^3 dry soil	column AE	l volume basis	ater content volume basis	ry ater content volume basis	r y ater content volume hasis	ater content volume basis	ater content volume basis	r y ater content volume basis	ater content volume basis	ry ater content volume basis	Z	etric water corosity is c	
	0.51	X20*U20	0,3kPa	theta V _{ewen dry} cm^3 H2O/ cm [^] 3 dry soil	column AF						ontents bas alculated.						
	0.40	Y20*U20	33 kPa	theta V _{ewen dry} cm^3 H2O/ cm^3 dry soil	column AG					sed on each	ed on each						
	0.01	AA20-AB20	macro- porosity	cm^3 H2O/ cm^3 dry soil	column AH					bulk der	hull den						
	0.10	AB20-AC20	meso- porosity	cm^3 H2O/ cm^3 dry soil	column Al					SILY							